Online Appendix: Women Politicians, Institutions, and Perceptions of Corruption

Comparative Political Studies 2019, Vol. 52(1) 134 –167

Tiffany D. Barnes tiffanydbarnes@uky.edu University of Kentucky

Emily Beaulieu emily.beaulieu@uky.edu University of Kentucky Table A1: Mean Sample Characteristics, by Treatment Category

	Honest	Honest	Marginalized	Marginalized	Risk averse	Risk averse
Variable	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
Female						
Respondent	0.397	0.440	0.466	0.457	0.494	0.462
Education	3.076	3.109	3.275	3.055	3.128	3.226
Age	50.810	53.886	54.085	53.829	55.500	54.385
Income	7.288	6.995	6.984	7.128	6.639	7.379
Work						
Fulltime	0.533	0.472	0.508	0.579	0.511	0.508
Work Part						
time	0.130	0.181	0.175	0.171	0.144	0.221
Student	0.120	0.119	0.101	0.110	0.100	0.067

Table 1A reports the mean response for sample characteristics by treatment category, including: participants' sex, education, age, income, and work status. Education is measured on a 6-point scale ranging from 0 (no high school education) to 6 (post-graduate degree). Household annual income is assessed on a 15-point scale from (1) less than \$10,000 to (15) more than \$250,00 per year. Using a series of dummy variables, we account for whether the participant is employed full time, part time, a student, or not working (e.g., retired, homemaker, disabled, unemployed).

Table A2: Predict Treatment Categories

	Honest	Honest Male	Risk averse	Marginalized	Marginalized
	Female		Female	Female	Male
Female	-0.249	-0.123	-0.016	0.086	-0.042
Respondent					
	(0.221)	(0.217)	(0.217)	(0.219)	(0.216)
Education	0.037	0.088	0.196*	0.106	0.126
	(0.093)	(0.091)	(0.092)	(0.092)	(0.091)
Age	-0.028**	-0.002	-0.002	0.007	-0.003
	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Income	0.022	0.003	-0.012	-0.037	0.032
	(0.033)	(0.032)	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.033)
Work Fulltime	-0.732*	-0.787*	-0.685*	-0.612^	-0.691*
	(0.318)	(0.314)	(0.317)	(0.315)	(0.317)
Work Part time	-0.827*	-0.456	-0.453	-0.703^	-0.161
	(0.386)	(0.364)	(0.369)	(0.377)	(0.360)
Student	-0.829^	-0.456	-0.527	-0.460	-0.963*
	(0.444)	(0.439)	(0.452)	(0.455)	(0.479)
Constant	2.030**	0.586	0.243	0.091	0.225
	(0.694)	(0.665)	(0.673)	(0.672)	(0.666)
Observations	1105				
Log Likelihood	-1954.6328				

Baseline: Risk averse Male. Standard errors in parentheses. ^ p<.1, * p<.05, ** p<.01, *** p<.001

Table A2 presents the multinominal logit results predicting treatment assignment. Although treatment conditions were assigned at random using the Randomizer function in Qualtrics, according to the multinominal logit results, individuals who work full time were systematically more likely to be assigned to the Risk averse male treatment, individuals with a higher education were more likely to be assigned to the Risk averse female treatment, and younger individuals, and those working part time were more likely to be assigned to the Honest Female treatment. Accordingly, we control for these sample characteristics in the analyses presented in the text.